

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/832,901 | 04/12/2001 | David Malone | P66582US0 | 1688 | |
| JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HOLMES, MICHAEL B | | |
| | | | ADTIBUT | DARED MUADED | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2121 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/09/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/832,901 | MALONE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Michael B. Holmes | 2121 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A | pril 2001. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | · | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) | · · · · · | • | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · · | • • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | • • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No. <u>09/832,901</u> . ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12052001. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/832,901

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - WWW.USPTO.GOV

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to application 09/832,901, filed April 12, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-17 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Shane* (USPN 5,793,972).

Regarding claim 1. Shane describes a messaging system comprising a messaging engine for operating under user instructions to generate and transmit messages addressed to recipient contacts [see C 2, L 22 to C 3, L 33 & FIG. 1, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65]; characterized in that the system comprises a server comprising:

a client interface (4) comprising means for allowing access by a plurality of users of registered clients [see FIG. 1, item 18, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

Art Unit: 2121

a client manager (2) comprising means for maintaining a database of registered clients [see FIG. 1, item 12, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

a content manager (25) comprising means for receiving content items uploaded from users and for storing said content in a content database (26, 27, 29) [see FIG 1, item 42, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

means in the content manager (25) for selecting content items for a message [see FIG. 1, items 12 & 14, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

means in the messaging engine (21) for generating and sending a message with links to selected content items in the content database [see FIG. 1, items 12 & 14, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65, Examiner interprets the links as the URL's];

a response management function (22) comprising means for monitoring a response from a recipient contact using said links [see FIG. 1, items 16, 18, 34, & 29; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65]; a build function (20) comprising means for building content for the responding recipient contact according to the links used by said contact to respond [see FIG. 1, items 40, 12 & 14; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65]; and

means in the response management function (23) for sending the built content to the responding recipient contact [see FIG. 1, items 18, 14, & 28, C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65].

Regarding claim 2. *Shane* describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the content manger (25) comprises means for storing profile attributes for content items, and for selecting content items according to said attributes [see FIG. 1, item 12 & 22 C 3, L 64 to C 4, L 19].

Regarding claim 3. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the profile attributes are included in meta data [see FIG. 1, item 12 & 22, C 3 L 64 to C 4, L 5, Examiner interprets meta data as data about data e.g., demographic and tracking information for each recipient].

Regarding claim 4. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the server further comprises a contacts manager (10) comprising means for managing a contacts database (11) of contacts uploaded by users, in which contacts are associated with the users and with profile attributes [see FIG. 1, item 16 C 4, L 20-32].

Regarding claim 5. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the content manager (25) comprises means for selecting content items by matching profile attributes of a contact with profile attributes of the content items [see FIG. 1, item 16 & 12, C 4, L 20-22].

Regarding claim 6. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the content items comprise content collateral parts and message text uploaded by users [see FIG 1, item 18, 18, 32, 20, & 29, C 4, L 20-32 & C 5, L 8-21].

Regarding claim 7. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the content manager (25) comprises means for managing a database of templates, in which each template is a group of identifiers of collateral parts and message text for re-use by a user [see FIG. 3, C 5, L 8-20, Examiner interprets Web page 60 as a template i.e., predesigned document that contains formatting, and, in many cases generic text].

Regarding claim 8. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the content manager (25) comprises means for managing a database of wrappers, in which each wrapper comprises links to selected content items [see FIG. 1, item 12, C 2, L 28-39 & C 3, L 64 to C 4, L 5, Examiner interprets the Recipient Database (12) as the database of wrappers and the (URL) as the wrapper, which comprises the links].

Regarding claim 9. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the content manager (25) comprises means for grouping content items at the levels of a user organization (27), a user group written on organization (29), and individual users (26) [see FIG. 1, item 14, C 4, L 6-19 Examiner interprets this as the lettershop coupled to the recipient database is responsible for retrieving recipient data and sending it to the home or office of each recipient. A recipient is simply one that receives, and Examiner contends that it is certainly conceivable that a recipient can be an organization, a group within an organization, and certainly an individual].

Regarding claim 10. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the messaging engine comprises means for generating each link in a manner whereby it is unique to the message, and the response management function (22) comprises means for monitoring said links to identify the responding recipient contacts [see C 2, L 27-39, C 4, 20-65, Examiner interprets the response management function being performed by Web Server (16)].

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 11. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the server comprises a response reporting function comprising means for generating management reports using data captured by the response management function [see FIG. 1, item 16 & 40, C 4, L 33-49, Examiner interprets the web page creator (40) for generating the web page, which reports individual responses and web server (16) by way of the internet (18) as the communication pathway for capturing responses].

Regarding claim 12. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the messaging engine (21) comprises means for allowing a user to directly enter content to a message without previously uploading it to the content manager [see C 4, L 22-40 16, 18, 20, & 29 Examiner interprets this as direct interface between Web server (16) and the recipient at location (29)].

Regarding claim 13. *Shane* describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the messaging engine comprises means for:

receiving a message generated by a user locally using an external messaging application [see FIG. 4, C 5, L 63 to C 6, L 11 Examiner interprets the local user as the Advertiser and the Direct mailing as the external messaging application or the Internet can also be considered an external messaging application since it has global reach];

parsing said message and generating a message having a wrapper with links to content of the content database according to the parsing [see C 5, L 51-62 Examiner interprets processing the URL as parsing and subsequently triggering a message].

Application/Control Number: 09/832,901

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 14. Shane describes a messaging system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the messaging engine (21) comprises means for parsing a header for content in the received message, and for using said header to identify relevant content in the content database[see C 5, L 51-62 Examiner interprets removing the forward slash (header) and retrieving data from the recipient database].

Regarding claim 15. Shane describes a method of, under user instructions, generating a message and sending it to a recipient contact, the method being carried out by a server [see C 2, L 22 to C 3, L 33 & FIG. 1, items 29, 20, 34, 18, & 16; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65] and comprising the steps of:

a user uploading content collateral and message text to the server, and the server storing said collateral and text with profile attributes [see FIG. 1, items 29, 20, 34, 18, 16, & 12; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

the user uploading contact data, and the server storing the contact data with profile attributes [see FIG. 1, items 29, 20, 34, 18, 16, & 12; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

generating a message by matching profile attributes of the contact with those of the content to select content, and including in the message uploaded message text and links to said selected content [see FIG. 1, items 12, 14, 26, & 29; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

transmitting the message to the contact [see FIG. 1, items 12, 14, 18, & 16; C 3, L 56 to C 4, L 65];

subsequently receiving a response from the contact using a link, capturing data including identifiers of the contact and of the content [see FIG. 1, items 29, 20, 34, 18, & 16; C 3, L 56]

to C 4, L 65]; and

generating a response report based on said captured data [see (g), C 3, L 31-33 & C 7, L 50-58 Examiner interprets the report as the web page].

Page 8

Regarding claim 16. Shane describes a method as claimed in claim 15, wherein the link is unique to the combination of contact and content, and the link is used to capture said data, and the step of receiving the response comprises the further step of determining an actual address for the content based on the link address [see C 2, L 28-50].

Regarding claim 17. Shane describes a computer program product comprising software code for performing a method as claimed in claim 15 when executing on a digital computer [see FIG 1, item 32, C 6, L 29-35, & C 5, L 8-62].

Correspondence Information

5. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 746-7239.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Art Unit: 2121

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence
Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce Patent & Trademark Office

Thursday, February 03, 2005

MBH